For the Northern District of California

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	
7	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,
8	Plaintiff, No. C 10-0411 PJH (PR)
9	vs. ORDER OF DISMISSAL
10	2400 NATOMA ST., et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	This pro se civil rights action was filed on January 28, 2010. On that same day the
14	court notified plaintiff that he had neither paid the filing fee nor applied for leave to proceed
15	in forma pauperis ("IFP"). A copy of the court's form for applications to proceed in forma
16	pauperis was provided with the notice, along with a return envelope. Plaintiff was informed
17	that if he did not either pay the fee or apply for leave to proceed IFP within thirty days the
18	case would be dismissed.
19	No response has been received. This case is therefore <b>DISMISSED</b> without
20	prejudice. The clark shall close this file

prejudice. The clerk shall close this file.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 16, 2010.

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

P:\PRO-SE\PJH\CR.10\OHINES0411.DISM-IFP.wpd